

Chronological thread of communications with Damien Codognotto starting 21st September 2010

Message 1

Return-Path: <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>
Received: from nschwmtas06p.mx.bigpond.com (nschwmtas06p.mx.bigpond.com [61.9.189.152])
by mail35.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id o8L2oN1N030762
for <grantad@optusnet.com.au>; Tue, 21 Sep 2010 12:50:23 +1000
Received: from nschwotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com ([121.219.248.203])
by nschwmtas06p.mx.bigpond.com with ESMTP
id <20100921025003.CPJH13830.nschwmtas06p.mx.bigpond.com@nschwotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com>;
Tue, 21 Sep 2010 02:50:03 +0000
Received: from damien.lan ([121.219.248.203])
by nschwotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com with ESMTP
id <20100921024718.KXZD13035.nschwotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com@damien.lan>;
Tue, 21 Sep 2010 02:47:18 +0000
Date: Tue, 21 Sep 2010 12:47:19 +1000
From: Damien Codognotto OAM <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>
X-Priority: 3 (Normal)
Message-ID: <1808467923.20100921124719@bigpond.com>
To: "grantad" <MC1
Subject: SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 2010.
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/mixed;
boundary="-----911B8142220B7616"

Hello Grant, Kerry & Michael,

Thanks for hearing me out yesterday. The items that stood out for me were:

My Honourary Life Membership.

I had not moved on the HLM issue for well over a year, other than the Bell's meeting where Karmouche gagged me, Ellis snickered at me and Placencio disgusted me. Dad was sick, then he died. My defamation lawyer gave me time to pay my bill so there was no incentive for me to take any further action until after the Victorian election.

Your news that Tony Ellis is still whining about me at MRA meetings and has even got a lawyer to send me a letter in an attempt to gag me came as a total surprise. It made me most determined to fight this through until I get justice and my HLM and the Department of Justice mediation agreement is unreservedly accepted by the MRA. See attached.

The MRA has been offered an "easy-out". I want a TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN letter accepting the mediation agreement, stating that my HLM was never in doubt and apologising for the distress the action caused my family and the damage it did to my good name and my standing in my community. I will discuss the wording after I see the Ellis lawyer's letter. I will not let this go.

If you want to rebuild the MRA you have to re-establish the "brand". The cheapest way to do that is a constant stream of letters to editors and media releases. See attached. I got the speed camera letter into several papers across the state for little cost.

The safest way to get media exposure is to have an MRA policy document that is up-to-date and that binds the MRA's authorised reps. It should be readily available to members in a booklet form - one third A4 - with a short history of the MRA and a constitution and bylaws. You need a sub-committee to prepare the policy document. I volunteer.

My roles with the Order of Australia Association and groups like the Italian Historical Society get me invited to places Ellis & Co. don't.

I am an asset to the MRA but Ellis' insane hatred clouds his judgement. If he put one tenth the effort into riders' safety and rights that he does into his hate campaign against me, the MRA might thrive and do some good.

The MRA constitution and Bylaws must be re-written and the safe-guards Detlef took out replaced and clauses/rules developed that suit the times:

1. Five board members - President, Vice President, Treasurer, Secretary and Membership Secretary. Other roles filled by appointment as needed.
2. Longer terms for board members - I favour 3 year terms.
3. "Staggered" elections so you never have a coup as inflicted on the members by Alex Money nor a totally inexperienced board. One year at the AGM you elect a President. The next year elect the Vice President and Secretary. The next year elect the Treasurer and Membership Secretary.
4. Consider limiting the President to two consecutive terms.
5. Set up a subcommittee to rewrite the constitution and by-laws. I recommend Michael Czajka, myself and Grant or Kerry. Definitely not Ellis or Detlef. They've their chance and the MRA has been run into the ground.
5. The Victorian Motorcycle Council needs to run democratically or close. The VMC was set up by the MRA in Melbourne with the help of the NSW MCC. We paid to start it and for its' first mailing. It was supposed to be a council of clubs but it's turned into Detlef's ticket to seats on committees concerned with motorcycle matters. Detlef represents riders at the City of Melbourne, VMAC and possibly at federal/national level. He has no mandate. He has put himself in a similar position to Harold Scuby(?) and his Pedestrian Council of Australia.
6. The Victorian Motorcycle Advisory Council (VMAC) does not work for riders. It is not democratic. It does not have enough rider experts on it and too many car-driving bureaucrats. Take the initiative. Get a venue in Melbourne. Invite the rider and industry reps from VMAC to attend a conference. Prepare a media release that tells the Minister that the rider and industry reps will not be attending VMAC meetings until political appointments end, secrecy ends ... and so on.
7. Rebuild the MRA's premier events. The Toy Runs and The BLOOD CHALLENGE. Instead of being a national promotion for blood donors that values volunteers, it's become a local computer game that a lot of donors are locked out of. After 33 years that this was allowed to happen by Karmouche, Ellis & Co. is probably my greatest regret. It is a disgrace.

Damien Codognotto OAM
MRA Honourary Life Member
Independent Riders' Group
0419 846 855.

" and has even got a lawyer to send me a letter" infers he HAS seen it.

Message 2

Return-Path: <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

Received: from nschwmtas03p.mx.bigpond.com (nschwmtas03p.mx.bigpond.com [61.9.189.143])
by mail11.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id o9509ZPb010581
for <grantad; Tue, 5 Oct 2010 11:09:35 +1100

Received: from nschwotgx03p.mx.bigpond.com ([124.180.160.246])
by nschwmtas03p.mx.bigpond.com with ESMTP
id <20101005000935.ILNL13334.nschwmtas03p.mx.bigpond.com@nschwotgx03p.mx.bigpond.com>;
Tue, 5 Oct 2010 00:09:35 +0000

Received: from damien.lan ([124.180.160.246])
by nschwotgx03p.mx.bigpond.com with ESMTP
id <20101005000935.BESD16083.nschwotgx03p.mx.bigpond.com@damien.lan>;
Tue, 5 Oct 2010 00:09:35 +0000

Date: Tue, 5 Oct 2010 11:09:20 +1100

From: Damien Cognotto OAM <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

X-Priority: 3 (Normal)

Message-ID: <1096074561.20101005110920@bigpond.com>

To: "grantad

CC: MC1, mwigan

Subject: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-15

X-RPD-ScanID: Class unknown; VirusThreatLevel unknown, RefID str=0001.0A150205.4CAA6CBF.00FF,ss=1,fgs=0

X-SIH-MSG-ID: rBgyGNP7TEWqlzgvxTGVY14jglO69W91tNsMCpZ0qBgCukfLud/fRcq9cadH0eqjgS4zZV6VlmQwY6zuXYbbsdygl7xQWoo=

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

X-MIME-Autoconverted: from quoted-printable to 8bit by mail11.syd.optusnet.com.au id o9509ZPb010581

Good morning Grant & Kerry,

It's been some time since we met and talked about the MRA accepting the mediation agreement regarding my Honourary Life Membership.

Since Dad died I had used my title less. Ellis lawyer threat, which I did not know about, means I am using it at every opportunity.

It is clear that Ellis priority is not the MRA.

I have not recieved anything from any lawyer associated with Ellis or the MRA.

In this run up to the state election the MRA should be at its' most active and highly visible in the media. I can open doors but won't until you resolve my membership issues to my satisfaction.

We should talk again.

Damien Codognotto OAM
Independent Riders' Group
MRA Honourary Life Member
Melbourne
0419 846 855

Message 3

Return-Path: <Kerry

Received: from nschwmtas02p.mx.bigpond.com (nschwmtas02p.mx.bigpond.com [61.9.189.140])
by mail15.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id o967RVAs018796
for <grantad@optusnet.com.au>; Wed, 6 Oct 2010 18:27:31 +1100

Received: from nschwotgx01p.mx.bigpond.com ([124.176.217.114])
by nschwmtas02p.mx.bigpond.com with ESMTP
id <20101006072731.VPRQ4250.nschwmtas02p.mx.bigpond.com@nschwotgx01p.mx.bigpond.com>;
Wed, 6 Oct 2010 07:27:31 +0000

Received: from mraalaptop ([124.176.217.114])
by nschwotgx01p.mx.bigpond.com with ESMTP
id <20101006072727.RIMX8424.nschwotgx01p.mx.bigpond.com@mraalaptop>;
Wed, 6 Oct 2010 07:27:27 +0000

From: "kerry walton"

To: <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

Cc: "Grant Delahoy"

Subject: Thank you.

Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 18:27:27 +1100

Message-ID: <003101cb6527\$ee73fda0\$cb5bf8e0\$@com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/related;
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0032_01CB6584.21E475A0"

X-Mailer: Microsoft Office Outlook 12.0

Thread-Index: ActIJ+18bRHIIBCVsbOXoyfRlpN7qA==

Content-Language: en-au

X-Authentication-Info: Submitted using SMTP AUTH LOGIN at nschwotgx01p.mx.bigpond.com from [124.176.217.114] using ID trikenut at
Wed, 6 Oct 2010 07:27:26 +0000

X-RPD-ScanID: Class unknown; VirusThreatLevel unknown, RefID str=0001.0A150203.4CAC24E3.0005,ss=1,fgs=0

X-SIH-MSG-ID: qhgwEtX2TES2nT8vhSChQVUtlUy7/yU1ssBP59JmrQQZS0Ddu8DZXcuid7MmlNr2q20IJgqDLmEnaa7jV4zQotuwLxR

Hello Damien,

Thank you for your time on the 18th of last month. Our apologies for the delay in response, you would appreciate that we needed time to research the facts and consult with legal counsel.

We thank you for your offer to assist us with information and advice and will always be willing to discuss issues and take on board your suggestions.

As we discussed, the letter that was sent to you was part of a program to attempt to regain a measure of clarity over the public communication of the MRV rather than the current confusion where past presidents were insinuating influence by referencing their past position. A similar letter was also sent to John Karmouche and Dale Maggs to cease and desist from inferring they were speaking on behalf of the membership and allow the duly elected representatives to be recognised. Reports indicate that government leaders, authorities, the media and riders themselves did not know who was representing the MRV which is a situation detrimental to any lobbying efforts for all riders. This is not intended to gag or restrict any efforts anyone wishes to make, but it must be clear that the person is making their own personal views known.

Regarding your Honorary Life Membership. Let us be quite clear here by stating that we regard this event of the past as distasteful and that it has brought more harm to the brand of the MRV than any other event. Your suggestion of an "easy-out" for the current executive has been found to be problematic. Legal advice based on the documented evidence is that proper procedures were undertaken for an associated organisation at the time. It has been pointed out that the Department of Justice Mediation system is not legally binding and in this case the agreement made then did not fulfil the two principles put forward by the then executive which were to retract the statements made regarding Anton's membership of the Ulysses club (which was performed) and to offer an apology to Anton (which was not done). As a result of this the Special General Meeting was held and you know the rest. The current executive cannot make any judgment over the issue dealt with by the executive at the time, we can only accept the outcome of the SGM and the vote of the membership made at the time. For an incumbent board to overrule a vote made by the membership in the past without consultation with the membership

would be breaking the rules of the organisation thus leaving individual board members liable for dismissal and possible legal recourse.

We understand that this does not meet with your expectations however this is why we had hoped to reach an agreement to facilitate a compromise. As we discussed, not being involved with the events of the past means that any considered judgement we could make of the validity of claims made by either side of the argument must be evidence based. As has been supplied to you, we have seen evidence to support the other side of the argument. If you can provide evidence to prove the claims made regarding Anton which has not been presented before the past MRV board then this may make possible a vote to overturn the previous result of the SGM of 2006. Alternatively, a simple letter of apology would complete the principles required by the past ruling and enable a formal vote at the next General Meeting to rescind that ruling. It is our view that your reputation would be enriched by this rather than defamed.

It was disappointing to hear that you intend to pursue legal action against former executives. We do not know what you have been advised regarding any defamation action but would prefer to not see the MRV Brand dragged down by internal bickering again as we believe this will be detrimental to any lobbying efforts, which frankly is our main concern. A personal legal dispute taking resources away from the efforts to remove motorcycles from the roads by the current government would be the last straw for the MRV and we would have no recourse other than dissolving the organisation and hopefully moving lobbying efforts to other organisations. A once proud history will be left in ruin.

We have put to you to agree to work with us as a separate lobbyist for now. We would not seek to influence you in any manner and only ask that you refrain from using the designation "MRA Honorary Life Member", not because of the dispute about it, rather that it is confusing the public as to who you represent. Continuing to use it only makes us all look silly, unprofessional and the butt of derogatory jokes by supporters of either side of past events. What we will promise you is to offer support and endorsement on issues we all agree on and a formal proposal regarding your HLM status to be raised at each AGM.

Regards,

Kerry Walton

Damien called Kerry and Grant the next morning, very angry, accusing Kerry of sending him a virus that crashed his computer. Quote "you have never met anyone as mad as I am".

Message 4

Sent: Thu 7/10/2010 3:07 PM

Reply text only format

From: Grant Delahoy

To: 'Damien Cognotto OAM'; Kerry

CC: 'MC1; mwigan

RE: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?

Damien, for the record, Kerry and I would like to see you have the HLM restored. We agree that the incident that resulted in your dismissal should never have happened, but it did and we have to work with it.

If you had read the message clearly this is what we are trying to do. Because we disagree with you on how this can happen it's both discouraging and insulting that you feel it necessary to accuse us of sabotaging your computer, calling us names and being rude. IF this is how you treat your friends then it is surprising that you have any and casts doubt on our continued support. We will honour the promises made in our message. We will not continue with any communication that is anything but civil. You are expected to apologise when you find your computer has no virus from the email message Kerry sent you.

I have instructed the solicitor to resend the letter so you have a copy. There is no threat, never has been and we have explained the rationale behind it. You do good work with your efforts and are to be applauded in this and in no way are we intimating to censure you in any way shape or form. Please understand where we are coming from that MRAV representation needs to be clear.

Grant

Message 5

Return-Path: <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

Received: from nskntmtas06p.mx.bigpond.com (nskntmtas06p.mx.bigpond.com [61.9.168.152])
by mail14.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id o97AgBXu031821;
Thu, 7 Oct 2010 21:42:11 +1100

Resent-Date: Thu, 7 Oct 2010 21:42:11 +1100

Resent-Message-Id: <201010071042.o97AgBXu031821@mail14.syd.optusnet.com.au>

Received: from nskntotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com ([124.180.160.246])
by nskntmtas06p.mx.bigpond.com with ESMTP
id <20101007104211.OJSE9187.nskntmtas06p.mx.bigpond.com@nskntotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com>;
Thu, 7 Oct 2010 10:42:11 +0000

Received: from damien.lan ([124.180.160.246])
by nskntotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com with ESMTP
id <20101007104210.WLHS4790.nskntotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com@damien.lan>;
Thu, 7 Oct 2010 10:42:10 +0000

Date: Thu, 7 Oct 2010 21:41:51 +1100

From: "Grant Delahoy"

X-Priority: 3 (Normal)

Message-ID: <1545794749.20101007214151@bigpond.com>

To: "grantad"

CC: Kerry "secretary@mraa.org.au" <secretary@mraa.org.au>

Subject: RE: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?

Resent-from: Damien Cognotto OAM <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-15

X-RPD-ScanID: Class unknown; VirusThreatLevel unknown, RefID str=0001.OA150202.4CADA403.00B8,ss=1,fgs=0

X-SIH-MSG-ID: rho6FNH9TEWqlzgvxTGVY14jglO69W91tNsMcpZ0qBgcUkfLud/fRcq9cadH0eq+kS4zZV6VLmYwYqzuWY3bs9igl7xQWoo=

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

X-MIME-Autoconverted: from quoted-printable to 8bit by mail14.syd.optusnet.com.au id o97AgBXu031821

Note the "From:" section – this appears to be coming from Grant even though the return path shows Damien as the original sender. Two people replied to Grant's email address (see below).

Grant & Kerry,

You and Kerry would like to see my MRA Honourary Life Membership Restored? Try standing up for what's right. My Honourary Life Membership was never in doubt. It can't be restored. It can be recognised which is the only honest and just course of action for the MRA. Honesty and justice are the issue. There is a state election in 7 weeks or so. I think that's a factor.

Regardless, the 2006 SGM was illegal. There was no "dismissal". The mediation agreement stands. There was no complaint against me and your own lawyer, Grace Placencio, advised in writing to all members that I had no case to answer.

I did not contact you today. I was working with you and Kerry for the good of motorcycling. The dispute over my membership had gone quiet.

You (MRAV) decided to set a lawyer on me, though I still don't have the letter. You (MRAV) sent me the email this morning telling me I had

6 months to wait for a hearing at an MRA AGM. Too long. Justice delayed is justice denied. By then the function of those pushing this smear campaign against me, and by association, my family, will be gone, and so will they (perhaps not if the Victorian Government is returned).

In the late 1970s three of us founded the MRA. 32 years later I'm still here and I'm not going away.

It's astounding that you find my defence of my life's work against a political hate/smear campaign "insulting"!

In coming weeks the MRA in Melbourne should be concentrating on getting the most it can from a weak government and a hungry opposition in the run-up to a state election but you are attacking me again. I did not initiate this latest round of hostilities. Doesn't that tell you something? You and Kerry should be utterly ashamed of yourselves.

My conscience would give me major problems if I tried to make excuses for people who lie, fail to do the accounting required by law and who utterly fail to represent riders as they were "elected" to do.

Apologise? You should be apologising to the road riders of Australia and to me.

Damien Codognotto OAM
Independent Riders' Group
MRA Honourary Life Member
Melbourne
0419 846 855

Emails replied to Grant – this shows the original message was BCC to other third parties.

Return-Path: <pgawith >
Received: from mail-vw0-f44
by mail15.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTMP id o97MktA0006453
for <grantad >; Fri, 8 Oct 2010 09:49:26 +1100
Received: by mail-vw0-f44.google.com with SMTP id 10so119626vws.3
for <grantad>; Thu, 07 Oct 2010 15:49:25 -0700 (PDT)
DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;
d=gmail.com; s=gamma;
h=domainkey-signature:mime-version:received:received:in-reply-to
:references:date:message-id:subject:from:to:content-type;
bh=6pMrUZ7Q6CcUrRGNh3DzemqiinXAbjUqeK6/rWVhpHw=;
b=s6mNMug06PXT8QChguSXXyKxsKiaodKyvuQhQA4RpaB/mw1Q8yU3g6VWmGBJxjCfGn
TXiVNOVAAlsbeK2GUG5mNg3Cem1q6diE862xznnYWqQTFa2BDz3DpbilffQoScyREWA
BOK57Rs9EcFrDvHFZrSK0UGZfV1ZiZe+AjYuo=
DomainKey-Signature: a=rsa-sha1; c=noaws;
d=gmail.com; s=gamma;
h=mime-version:in-reply-to:references:date:message-id:subject:from:to
:content-type;
b=ThOec4wxnj7E8MeJcuspTqa79XY7As8/GH74n0tDFcpR+bRkgm6j71004c2cgamsSQ
abLw/XS6r5X9wmQVidJzan7vLb3cRjOwhTBG1yvU5AYe39vO/O/NPaVPZ15cg6++/vZ
DjOzn4RoipC1f/OPDHAMIOJIZVDD/m+Pt7YM=
MIME-Version: 1.0
Received: by 10.220.175.197 with SMTP id bb5mr444332vcb.153.1286491765176;
Thu, 07 Oct 2010 15:49:25 -0700 (PDT)
Received: by 10.220.100.68 with HTTP; Thu, 7 Oct 2010 15:49:25 -0700 (PDT)
In-Reply-To: <1545794749.20101007214151@bigpond.com>
References: <1545794749.20101007214151@bigpond.com>
Date: Fri, 8 Oct 2010 08:49:25 +1000
Message-ID: <AANLkTi=sqcr0-BVVpky=dt7FMLo605=xG9vQY42ir0hi@mail.gmail.com>
Subject: Re: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?
From: Peter Gawith <pgawith >
To: Grant Delahoy <grantad >
Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary=90e6ba53ad78ab7c5004920eb430

And

Return-Path: <phoenix.rising >
Received: from nskntmtas06p.mx.bigpond.com (nskntmtas06p.mx.bigpond.com [61.9.168.152])
by mail18.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTMP id o983fP6D028978
for <grantad >; Fri, 8 Oct 2010 14:41:25 +1100
Received: from nskntotgx03p.mx.bigpond.com ([124.180.215.23])
by nskntmtas06p.mx.bigpond.com with ESMTMP
id <20101008034124.VGUJ9187.nskntmtas06p.mx.bigpond.com@nskntotgx03p.mx.bigpond.com>
for <grantad >; Fri, 8 Oct 2010 03:41:24 +0000
Received: from user2a6cd485a9 ([124.180.215.23])
by nskntotgx03p.mx.bigpond.com with SMTP
id <20101008034123.XCOE13584.nskntotgx03p.mx.bigpond.com@user2a6cd485a9>
for <grantad >; Fri, 8 Oct 2010 03:41:23 +0000
Message-ID: <002f01cb66a3\$32a5cc20\$0100000a@user2a6cd485a9>
From: "Christine & Terry Phoenix Rising Motorcycle Tours" <phoenix.rising >
To: "Grant Delahoy" <grantad >
References: <1545794749.20101007214151@bigpond.com>

Subject: Re: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?

Date: Fri, 8 Oct 2010 14:42:22 +1000

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="-----_NextPart_000_002C_01CB66F7.041B14B0"

X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2900.2180

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2900.2180

X-RPD-ScanID: Class unknown; VirusThreatLevel unknown, RefID str=0001.0A150202.4CAE92E4.0134,ss=1,fgs=0

X-SIH-MSG-ID: rB43FNf4TECsmzEkgi37c143m1Kz0SNytt9NBYd6+kVFXEfBvd/fs8q9caJE34zlxEgObwr6bSUwc6T0XYzbtti6I7hBWLDY58I=

Message 6

Sent: Fri 8/10/2010 11:38 AM

From: Grant Delahoy

To: 'Damien Cognotto OAM'

CC: Kerry

RE: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?

Attached files available at;

http://www.mravc.org.au/forum/modules/docmanager/view_file.php?curent_file=408&curent_dir=20
http://www.mravc.org.au/forum/modules/docmanager/view_file.php?curent_file=409&curent_dir=20

Hello Damien,

Attached is a copy of Grace's email from after the SGM in 2006 so you know we have a copy of this.

We understand that you do not accept that your membership was ever in doubt, suspended or terminated. Our opinion on this is not what matters, our legal advice is that it was terminated (even Grace accepts this) and that anything we do must recognise this and we must act accordingly. Each time we try to explain this to you we are met with threats of legal action and abuse and it's frustrating that your hatred of anything to do with the MRV is clouding reasonable discussion about this. We were not involved with that process, we find it distasteful, as we have stated, yet you continue to hold us accountable for it. You must truly believe we are all guilty of the biblical Adam's sin or that Able could go back and undo what had been done, which is what you expect of us.

You are incorrect by stating that we have "Set a lawyer on you". As explained, this was in no way a personal attack but part of a program put in place to retain control of representation of the MRV as described in the previous message. You were not the only recipient of letters. Kerry and I were concerned (and clearly quite rightly so) that you would not understand the nature of this program nor how we are trying to restore credibility to both the MRV and yourself which is why we brought it up at the meeting. It is very apparent that you still do not understand this so we'll spell it out again;

Research and feedback by all the authorities, government other representative groups, clubs, media and riders themselves had no clear idea on who represented the MRV because of endless forum threads, blogs, emails, letters and media blogs by former MRA Presidents claiming their membership entitles them to speak on behalf of the MRV membership. Even if not directly stating this, linking former positions held and membership insinuated the same thing and this dilutes any lobbying efforts we try to make. As members, both Dale and John have agreed to comply with the directive from the board which means they can say whatever they want, but they don't put "MRV Member" as their tag line and if challenged they state it is their personal opinion. As you are listed in our register that you are not a current member we cannot ask you as a member to follow the directive of the board, we had to use a professional letter hence engaging the services of a solicitor. When you use the term "Honorary Life Member" this implies that you still have influence within the MRV and since anyone who enquires with us as to your membership will be told that you are not a member, this means you are in denial of the vote of the members made back in 2006. This does not do your credibility any justice, so, we are asking nicely to please not use this term, that is all. Our proposal for reinstating (yes not making a new one, restoring the old one) your membership down the track still stands. Yes we know this will take time but that is what it will take. If this is unacceptable then that is a matter for you.

You stated "It's astounding that you find my defence of my life's work against a political hate/smear campaign "insulting"!"

We're flabbergasted by this statement. How can you infer that we feel insulted by you defending yourself? Where have we ever implied anything of the sort? We have made it clear that our aims are the same but we disagree with how it can be achieved?

You stated *"but you are attacking me again. I did not initiate this latest round of hostilities. Doesn't that tell you something? You and Kerry should be utterly ashamed of yourselves."*

What attack? This is being conducted privately and is not being discussed outside, that YOU choose to make it public by playing office politics with email CC protocols is your doing and your responsibility.

What hostilities? Please explain how we are being hostile and what threats we have made? You have made a threat to sue three times in our presence, you have stated "you want Tony's house and see him on the Street" three times in person and once on the phone. The only person making threats to sue for defamation and being hostile is yourself. How can we be *"utterly ashamed of ourselves"* by trying to help you and the MRAV come to finalize this episode peacefully? You are not making any sense. If we had made any actions that have been misconstrued then we would apologize for the misunderstanding.

As you are so intent on seeing what is right done then why don't you admit that you were wrong to accuse Kerry of sending you a virus that mucked up your computer and apologise for that insinuation? Kerry is expecting one and I don't blame him, but he is a very forgiving person. You told me in person that you *"never admit when you are wrong and never apologize"*. Well I disagree, if I make a mistake I stand up and admit it like a man and take responsibility for it.

You have still not given us any proof to back up your claims about Anton so we still cannot make a judgement about that issue either, we'd be quite happy to use it to overturn the 2006 SGM but we're still waiting! \$7887 on solicitor fees for defamation could have gone a long way with a Private Investigator that could dig up any dirt there was.

Also - just pointing out that there is still something wrong with your email settings or something as your message appears to be coming from me (see below in the "from" line). This usually means the setting in your account for "reply to" has an issue. This may be related to your system crashing with some emails. Of course it could be misconstrued that you are sending messages posing as me but I do not believe this is the case as that would be serious. You might want to get Michael to check it out as I have noticed this problem with other addresses on messages you have sent. Also – please note that the secretary email address is secretary@mravic.org.au and this goes to Barry Marks who is the secretary at the moment.

Message 7

Sent: Fri 8/10/2010 3:17 PM
From: Grant Delahoy
To: 'Damien Cognotto OAM'
FW: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?

Nothing sinister here, I'm just forwarding the replies that are coming to me from your message as a courtesy.

I hope you can understand we are not your enemy and have a lot of respect for the body of work you do. Sending that message to a bunch of BCC addresses doesn't inspire trust with me though. This was a private discussion.

Cheers!

Grant

From: Christine & Terry Phoenix Rising Motorcycle Tours
Sent: Friday, October 08, 2010 3:42 PM
To: Grant Delahoy
Subject: Re: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?

Message 8

Return-Path: <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

Received: from nskntmtas01p.mx.bigpond.com (nskntmtas01p.mx.bigpond.com [61.9.168.137])
by mail13.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id o984MWh8021411
for <grantad >; Fri, 8 Oct 2010 15:22:32 +1100

Received: from nskntotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com ([121.219.44.153])
by nskntmtas01p.mx.bigpond.com with ESMTP
id <20101008042231.LYDY20205.nskntmtas01p.mx.bigpond.com@nskntotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com>;
Fri, 8 Oct 2010 04:22:31 +0000

Received: from damien.lan ([121.219.44.153]) by nskntotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com
with ESMTP
id <20101008042218.BUZK4790.nskntotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com@damien.lan>;
Fri, 8 Oct 2010 04:22:18 +0000

Date: Fri, 8 Oct 2010 15:22:18 +1100

From: Damien Codognotto OAM <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

X-Priority: 3 (Normal)

Message-ID: <07245540.20101008152218@bigpond.com>

To: "grantad Kerry,
<MC1 >,
"dale.maggs "
<mwigan

Subject: LAWYER'S LETTER - OCT 8, 2010.

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/mixed;
boundary="-----B8BA1DC106D33A3"

X-SIH-MSG-ID:

oxg3EdH7TEWqlzgvxTGVY14jglO69W91tNsMCpZ0qBgZUKTCsN/aR9SidqBRt8y/xEEfcgqVNnEgZ6bmX4XSs8myIb1RYA==

Attached Letter:

http://www.mravic.org.au/forum/modules/docmanager/view_file.php?curent_file=410&curent_dir=26

Grant and Kerry,

It looks like David Heasley's letter was written yesterday. It clearly makes a threat of legal action if I do not obey your order. Tony Ellis & Co. have clearly put you in an awkward position. You should be working to gain benefits for the motorcycle community in the lead up to an election but you are alienating riders, journalists and politicians.

I hope you are going to ask the few members you have if you can spend their money to seek an injunction to gag one of the MRA founders.

I remind you that I did not initiate this action, you (the MRA) did.
There was absolutely no need for it.

Damien Codognotto OAM
MRA Honourary Life Member
Melbourne
0419 846 855

***The original letter was agreed on and authorized to be sent at the board meeting of 21/7/2010.
Solicitor instructed to send the next day (no charge).***

Message 9

Return-Path: <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

Received: from nschwmtas04p.mx.bigpond.com (nschwmtas04p.mx.bigpond.com [61.9.189.146])
by mail18.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id o984b25i023430
for <grantad >; Fri, 8 Oct 2010 15:37:02 +1100

Received: from nschwotgx04p.mx.bigpond.com ([121.219.44.153])
by nschwmtas04p.mx.bigpond.com with ESMTP
id <20101008043702.CSHF26932.nschwmtas04p.mx.bigpond.com@nschwotgx04p.mx.bigpond.com>;
Fri, 8 Oct 2010 04:37:02 +0000

Received: from damien.lan ([121.219.44.153]) by nschwotgx04p.mx.bigpond.com
with ESMTP
id <20101008043701.PZNA1400.nschwotgx04p.mx.bigpond.com@damien.lan>;
Fri, 8 Oct 2010 04:37:01 +0000

Date: Fri, 8 Oct 2010 15:37:01 +1100

From: Damien Cognotto OAM <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

X-Priority: 3 (Normal)

Message-ID: <1848617176.20101008153701@bigpond.com>

To: "Grant Delahoy"

CC: MC1, mwigan, Kerry

"dale.maggs

<phoenix.rising

Subject: Re: FW: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?

In-Reply-To: <01c301cb669f\$a7f7a8d0\$f7e6fa70\$@com.au>

References: <01c301cb669f\$a7f7a8d0\$f7e6fa70\$@com.au>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/html; charset=iso-8859-15

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

X-RPD-ScanID: Class unknown; VirusThreatLevel unknown, RefID str=0001.0A150201.4CAE9FEE.00DA,ss=1,fgs=0

Grant,

1. Where a persons good name is attacked there is no private discussion. You are answerable to the members and the public since you took on a position in an organisation that represents people to government.
2. You (The MRA) initiated this round and there was no need to attack again.
3. Sure you are open with where the money is coming from to take legal action against me.

Christine's email did not come to me directly. My response is that the lawyer's letter contains no "olive branch".

Damien Codognotto OAM
MRA Honourary Life Member.

Message 10

Return-Path: <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

Received: from nskntmtas03p.mx.bigpond.com (nskntmtas03p.mx.bigpond.com [61.9.168.143])
by mail12.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id o984hVMm005592
for <grantad; Fri, 8 Oct 2010 15:43:31 +1100

Received: from nskntotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com ([121.219.44.153])
by nskntmtas03p.mx.bigpond.com with ESMTP

id <20101008044331.XMWZ5331.nskntmtas03p.mx.bigpond.com@nskntotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com>;
Fri, 8 Oct 2010 04:43:31 +0000

Received: from damien.lan ([121.219.44.153]) by nskntotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com
with ESMTP

id <20101008044330.CXUR4790.nskntotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com@damien.lan>;
Fri, 8 Oct 2010 04:43:30 +0000

Date: Fri, 8 Oct 2010 15:43:30 +1100

From: Damien Cognotto OAM <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

X-Priority: 3 (Normal)

Message-ID: <1586406189.20101008154330@bigpond.com>

To: "Grant Delahoy"

CC: Kerry, MC1, phoenix.rising

<pgawith, <mwigan

"dale.maggs

Subject: Re: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?

In-Reply-To: <010401cb6680\$ff0ed400\$fd2c7c00\$@com.au>

References: <1545794749.20101007214151@bigpond.com> <010401cb6680\$ff0ed400\$fd2c7c00\$@com.au>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/html; charset=iso-8859-15

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

X-RPD-ScanID: Class unknown; VirusThreatLevel unknown, RefID str=0001.0A150205.4CAEA173.00BB,ss=1,fgs=0

X-SIH-MSG-ID: rxE0FdP4TEWqlzgvxTGVY14jglO69W91tNsMCpZ0qBgZUKTCsN/aR9SidqBRt8y/xEEfcgqVnNnEgZ6bgXoSs8mylb1RYA==

Grant ,

Save it for the court Grant. You (the MRA) attacked me once too often. How will
you7 pay your lawyers. MRA members have a right to know.

Damien Codognotto OAM
MRA Honourary Life Member
Melbourne
0419 846 855.

This message was in reply to message 6

Message 12

Return-Path: <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

Received: from nskntmtas05p.mx.bigpond.com (nskntmtas05p.mx.bigpond.com [61.9.168.149])
by mail17.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id o98NjmBo008014
for <grantad >; Sat, 9 Oct 2010 10:45:48 +1100

Received: from nskntotgx03p.mx.bigpond.com ([121.219.44.153])
by nskntmtas05p.mx.bigpond.com with ESMTP
id <20101008234548.BFXB731.nskntmtas05p.mx.bigpond.com@nskntotgx03p.mx.bigpond.com>;
Fri, 8 Oct 2010 23:45:48 +0000

Received: from damien.lan ([121.219.44.153]) by nskntotgx03p.mx.bigpond.com
with ESMTP
id <20101008234535.WOFE13584.nskntotgx03p.mx.bigpond.com@damien.lan>;
Fri, 8 Oct 2010 23:45:35 +0000

Date: Sat, 9 Oct 2010 10:45:34 +1100

From: Damien Cognotto OAM <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

X-Priority: 3 (Normal)

Message-ID: <1983635835.20101009104534@bigpond.com>

To: pgawith@gmail.com

CC: "grantad, Kerry

<MC1 >, <phoenix.rising >, <mwigan >,

"dale.maggs

<lindsayswift >

Subject: Re: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?

In-Reply-To: <011d01cb6683\$6f8be860\$4ea3b920\$@com.au>

References: <1545794749.20101007214151@bigpond.com> <AANLkTi=sqcr0-BVVpky=dt7FMLo605=xG9vQY42ir0hi@mail.gmail.com>
<011d01cb6683\$6f8be860\$4ea3b920\$@com.au>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/mixed;

boundary="-----1251A3106491F171"

X-SIH-MSG-ID: qBs7FNT5TEWqlzgvxTGVY14jglO69W91tNsMCpZ0qBgZUKTCsN/aR9SidqBRt8y/xEEfcgqVNnEiYKbgWIXVusmyIb1RYA==

Hello Peter,

They're never going to rebuild the MRA with Ellis & Co. controlling the committee and sending lawyers letters like the one attached. I was surprised when talking to Grant Delahoy, Michael Czajka and Kerry Walton about the MRA's history, ways to rebuild it and my Honourary Life Membership they told me about the lawyer's letter. I had not received it. I knew nothing about it.

The last time an MRA committee did this the bill to the members was over \$20,000. The MRA members have a right to vote on what their money is being spent on when it is not routine running costs.

In my opinion this lawyer's letter is political, an attempt to gag anyone who might stand up for riders in the run up to the state election. I did not initiate this. The MRA in Melbourne set it up. They have to wear the consequences.

The letter will go to half the population of Australia so the people can make up their own minds about it. It's something to do with free speech and natural justice. Advanced concepts for Ellis and Co. but worth defending.

Damien Codognotto OAM
MRA Honourary Life Member
Melbourne
0419 846 855.

Friday, October 8, 2010, 11:54:59 AM, you wrote:

Thanks Peter, I actually agree and we're trying to build it back up.

We're not Damien's enemy either and hope one day this can all be resolved. All the best.

Cheers!

Grant

PS - I think I got this because the reply to on the email Damien has sent to many BCC addresses was set to my address for some reason. I hope he can fix that.

From: Peter Gawith [mailto:pgawith]
Sent: Friday, October 08, 2010 9:49 AM
To: Grant Delahoy
Subject: Re: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?

Damien

the MRA you lead has no resemblance to the current one.

You represented riders and their rights, I don't see these people doing any good work on behalf of riders.

It is a compliment to the MRA that you state your past association with them, perhaps you should drop it from your correspondence.

altogether! Their loss.

Maintain the struggle, there are more of your supporters out here than the MRA know.

Regards

PeterG
I.R.G. QLD

Message 13

Return-Path: <lindsayswift >

Received: from col0-omc2-s2.col0.hotmail.com (col0-omc2-s2.col0.hotmail.com [65.55.34.76])
by mail16.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id o992aQnw025227;
Sat, 9 Oct 2010 13:36:28 +1100

Received: from COL109-W29 ([65.55.34.73]) by col0-omc2-s2.col0.hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.4675);
Fri, 8 Oct 2010 19:36:26 -0700

Message-ID: <COL109-W2996CBAAB2CA5683A5A292D4510@phx.gbl>

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="_36451074-7025-44d9-8425-60f7d3f9d942_"

X-Originating-IP: [203.45.18.91]

From: lindsay swift

To: <grantad >, adam and kellie

Boris Mihailovic

<chris

Codognotto damien <uncle.d@bigpond.net.au>,

Countersteering <countersteering

Dabbs Mic gmail

euclid boraine

Gary

Bleazby <gbleazby

james, JOHN

HUBNER

"kristen ."

luke adrian

<marissa.ford12

ross gellatly

Roselie Anne

Kory

Simon Robinson

steve tilley

STEVEN raz

, <thefoxhat

Subject: RE: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?

Date: Sat, 9 Oct 2010 12:36:26 +1000

Importance: Normal

In-Reply-To: <1545794749.20101007214151@bigpond.com>

References: <1545794749.20101007214151@bigpond.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

X-OriginalArrivalTime: 09 Oct 2010 02:36:26.0413 (UTC) FILETIME=[C53EB5D0:01CB675A]

As noted, this is where the message was distributed widely.

The part I find most "astounding" and disgusting is that the MRA (VIC)'s priorities. They see fit to focus so much energy and members' (all, err, how many again?????) funds on shafting an individual who has only ever sought to have the right thing done by motorcyclists.

Nothing has changed since their president Alex money arrogantly wasted MRA members' funds on security guards (chortle) to protect him from DC.

And the Karmouche, Gomperts and Lange oligarchy supported this!

A life member is a member for life. That is self evident. If he is to be expelled, then surely there would be something substantial, very substantial, to precipitate this action.

There was not. Damien stated facts. facts which may have been uncomfortable and inconvenient for a few people, but FACTS nonetheless. The man may not be quiet or retiring, but he is circumspect about the things he says.

For this he was physically attacked and the falsely accused of assault. No charge was ever laid and video evidence supported his innocence.

These allegations and ridiculous misallocations of members' funds are just proof of the new MRA's purpose- to establish and maintain an exclusive clique where no rocking the boat is to be tolerated.

And unsuspecting members, the number of which is kept a secret as part of the MRA's clandestine, wanker nature, foot the bill.

And thier agenda'? well, you tell me what they've done for motorcyclists lately!

Remember the 2,000 strong protest ride 2 years ago against freeway tolls and the rest of it?

KARMOUCHE DIDN'T JUST SIT THAT ONE OUT- THE ARROGANT, ALOOF ARSEHOLE STOOD ON AN

OVERPASS WHILE EVERYONE RODE BENEATH HIM. some 'representative!

And what of the motorcycle tax? Tonnes of signatures petitioning its removal, thousands of riders up in arms against it, but what has the MRA done?

We all know what they're focussing on instead. If they dedicated half the effort harassing anti-motorcycle politicians and lying police officers like Ken Lay rather than persecuting individuals, we might actually gain some valuable ground.

I concur that DC's life membership should never have been questioned. That it be 'Restored' or 'recognised' is mere semantics. Either way would be a victory over these bastards who have infested a once great organisation.

People don't pay the MRA to see them pursuing this kind of childish, supercilious shit. Perhaps the government does?

It's time they were exposed as the corrupt ratship they are. And destroyed. Root and branch.

Lindsay Swift

Message 14

Return-Path: <grantad >

Received: from editone (c114-77-124-189.chirn2.vic.optusnet.com.au [114.77.124.189])
(authenticated sender grantad)
by mail05.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id o995NK49032372;
Sat, 9 Oct 2010 16:23:21 +1100

Reply-To: <grantad >

From: "Grant Delahoy" >

To: ""Damien Cognotto OAM"" <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>,
Kerry, <MC1 >, <dale.maggs >,
<mwigan >

References: <07245540.20101008152218@bigpond.com>

In-Reply-To: <07245540.20101008152218@bigpond.com>

Subject: RE: LAWYER'S LETTER - OCT 8, 2010.

Date: Sat, 9 Oct 2010 16:22:55 +1000

Message-ID: <000001cb677a\$6968d4a0\$3c3a7de0\$@com.au>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: Microsoft Office Outlook 12.0

Thread-Index: ActmoG0EHhm1EQSIR4W0usgHljqEjQA2Ji4Q

Content-Language: en-au

Hi Damien,

Thanks for confirming receipt of the letter we asked the solicitor to resend.

The last line we took to mean just one of several things we could do however and as explained this was never likely, but I'd concede you have taken it not as intended.

It is disappointing that you feel it necessary to publicise these private discussions, but so be it.

Grant

This message was in reply to message 8

Message 15

Return-Path: <grantad >

Received: from editone (c114-77-124-189.chirn2.vic.optusnet.com.au [114.77.124.189])
(authenticated sender grantad)
by mail01.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id o995gxoN016753;
Sat, 9 Oct 2010 16:43:00 +1100

Reply-To: <grantad >

From: "Grant Delahoy" >

To: "'Damien Cognito OAM'" <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

Cc: <MC1 >, <mwigan >, <Kerry>,
<dale.maggs >, <phoenix.rising >

References: <01c301cb669f5a7f7a8d05f7e6fa70\$@com.au> <1848617176.20101008153701@bigpond.com>

In-Reply-To: <1848617176.20101008153701@bigpond.com>

Subject: RE: FW: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?

Date: Sat, 9 Oct 2010 16:42:34 +1000

Message-ID: <000101cb677d52916bcc057b443640\$@com.au>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0002_01CB67D0.FAC2CCCC"

X-Mailer: Microsoft Office Outlook 12.0

Thread-Index: ActmonOT1hw5/KjhtsOw546YgtDdDQA2CfxQ

Content-Language: en-au

Damien,

- 1 I agree, please forward your apologies for any claims you have made against Anton Lange that you cannot prove. Please send your apologies for calling Kerry Walton names and saying he sent you a virus or prove that he did. Yes we are answerable to the members and are attempting to resolve a situation NOT of our making.
- 2 Only you describe this as an attack and I have already detailed the issues we have with representation.
- 3 Sure we are that so far the cost has been nothing but our patience.

No – Christine’s email came to you via me as you sent out a reply to me with my name as the sender and reply to address. You also obviously have been caught out sending a Blind Carbon Copy to however many others you chose to do so as some have replied to the email which then came to me. This is obviously your method to promote yourself and is your want. Good for you. But If you do so please ensure that you also send my replies in full. If this is not possible then I will do so for you, just to be fair. I’m sure Boris is chuckling mightily and forwarding it on to all in the industry. I have a lot of time for Boris.

You may recall me saying that Kerry and I had concerns on your understanding of the letter which is why we brought it up. You said you hadn’t received it so at your request I asked for it to be sent again. There is certainly no “olive branch” in the letter, but our talking to you and now having a very public discussion about it should be evidence that we were extending an olive branch.

This message was in reply to message 9 by which time Lindsay Swift’s widely distributed (message 13) had also been sent.

Message 16

Return-Path: <grantad >

Received: from editone (c114-77-124-189.chirn2.vic.optusnet.com.au [114.77.124.189])
(authenticated sender grantad)
by mail04.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id o995ljev2010306;
Sat, 9 Oct 2010 16:47:46 +1100

Reply-To: <grantad >

From: "Grant Delahoy" >

To: ""Damien Cognotto OAM"" <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

Cc: <trikenut >, <MC1 >, <phoenix.rising >,
<pgawith >, <mwigan >, <dale.maggs >

References: <1545794749.20101007214151@bigpond.com> <010401cb6680\$ff0ed400\$fd2c7c00\$@com.au>
<1586406189.20101008154330@bigpond.com>

In-Reply-To: <1586406189.20101008154330@bigpond.com>

Subject: RE: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?

Date: Sat, 9 Oct 2010 16:47:19 +1000

Message-ID: <000601cb677d\$d30e1700\$792a4500\$@com.au>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0007_01CB67D1.A4BA2700"

X-Mailer: Microsoft Office Outlook 12.0

Thread-Index: Actmo1twAnd6maiDRsm7OyaYwpWQVQA2hLpA

I see,

In the absence of rational response you resort to threats again?

This message was in response to message 10

Message 17

Return-Path: <grantad >

Received: from editone (c114-77-124-189.chirn2.vic.optusnet.com.au [114.77.124.189])
(authenticated sender grantad)
by mail04.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id o996vAMq023544;
Sat, 9 Oct 2010 17:57:11 +1100

Reply-To: <grantad >

From: "Grant Delahoy" <grantad >

To: "lindsay swift" <lindsayswift >,

"adam and kellie"

"Boris Mihailovic" <chris,

"Countersteering",

"Dabbs Mic gmail"

"euclid boraine"

"Gary Bleazby"

"james"

"JOHN HUBNER"

"kristen >, <Iramseyer

"luke adrian" >,

<mal_loafin

<mick_val666 >, "ross gellatly" <drnix >,

"Roselie Anne Kory" <msannekory >,

"Simon Robinson"

"steve tilley"

"STEVEN raz'">, <thefoxhat

References: <1545794749.20101007214151@bigpond.com> <COL109-W2996CBAAB2CA5683A5A292D4510@phx.gbl>

In-Reply-To: <COL109-W2996CBAAB2CA5683A5A292D4510@phx.gbl>

Subject: RE: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?

Date: Sat, 9 Oct 2010 17:56:45 +1000

Message-ID: <002501cb678785ae9c505910bd4f05@com.au>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0026_01CB67DB.575AAC50"

X-Mailer: Microsoft Office Outlook 12.0

Thread-Index: ActnWsYwNrxvPtQqRBaUFyUBz0UiuQAJTFUg

Hello Lindsay,

Just to be fair, none of my responses have been included (See one below). I've also answered some of your claims in your text below this.

Hello Damien,

We understand that you do not accept that your membership was ever in doubt, suspended or terminated. Our opinion on this is not what matters, our legal advice is that it was terminated (even Grace accepts this – her letter was dated AFTER the SGM) and that anything we do must recognise this and we must act accordingly. Each time we try to explain this to you we are met with threats of legal action and abuse and it's frustrating that your hatred of anything to do with the MRV is clouding reasonable discussion about this. We were not involved with that process, we find it distasteful, as we have stated, yet you continue to hold us accountable for it. You must truly believe we are all guilty of the biblical Adam's sin or that Able could go back and undo what had been done, which is what you expect of us.

You are incorrect by stating that we have "Set a lawyer on you". As explained, this was in no way a personal attack but part of a program put in place to retain control of representation of the MRV as described in the previous message. You were not the only recipient of letters. Kerry and I were concerned (and clearly quite rightly so) that you would not understand the nature of this program nor how we are trying to restore credibility to both the MRV and

yourself which is why we brought it up at the meeting. It is very apparent that you still do not understand this so we'll spell it out again;

Research and feedback by all the authorities, government other representative groups, clubs, media and riders themselves had no clear idea on who represented the MRAV because of endless forum threads, blogs, emails, letters and media blogs by former MRA Presidents claiming their membership entitles them to speak on behalf of the MRAV membership. Even if not directly stating this, linking former positions held and membership insinuated the same thing and this dilutes any lobbying efforts we try to make. As members, both Dale and John have agreed to comply with the directive from the board which means they can say whatever they want, but they don't put "*MRAV Member*" as their tag line and if challenged they state it is their personal opinion. As you are listed in our register that you are not a current member we cannot ask you as a member to follow the directive of the board, we had to use a professional letter hence engaging the services of a solicitor. When you use the term "Honorary Life Member" this implies that you still have influence within the MRAV and since anyone who enquires with us as to your membership will be told that you are not a member, this means you are in denial of the vote of the members made back in 2006. This does not do your credibility any justice, so, we are asking nicely to please not use this term, that is all. Our proposal for reinstating (yes not making a new one, restoring the old one) your membership down the track still stands. Yes we know this will take time but that is what it will take. If this is unacceptable then that is a matter for you.

You stated "It's astounding that you find my defence of my life's work against a political hate/smear campaign "insulting"!"

We're flabbergasted by this statement. How can you infer that we feel insulted by you defending yourself? Where have we ever implied anything of the sort? We have made it clear that our aims are the same but we disagree with how it can be achieved?

You stated "but you are attacking me again. I did not initiate this latest round of hostilities. Doesn't that tell you something? You and Kerry should be utterly ashamed of yourselves."

What attack? This is being conducted privately and is not being discussed outside, that YOU choose to make it public by playing office politics with email CC protocols is your doing and your responsibility.

What hostilities? Please explain how we are being hostile and what threats we have made? You have made a threat to sue three times in our presence, you have stated "you want to sue and get Tony's house and see him on the Street" three times in person and once on the phone. The only person making threats to sue for defamation and being hostile is yourself. How can we be "*utterly ashamed of ourselves*" by trying to help you and the MRAV come to finalize this episode peacefully? You are not making any sense. If we had made any actions that have been misconstrued then we would apologize for the misunderstanding.

As you are so intent on seeing what is right done then why don't you admit that you were wrong to accuse Kerry of sending you a virus that mucked up your computer and apologise for that insinuation? Kerry is expecting one and I don't blame him, but he is a very forgiving person. You told me in person that you "*never admit when you are wrong and never apologize*". Well I disagree, if I make a mistake I stand up and admit it like a man and take responsibility for it.

You have still not given us any proof to back up your claims about Anton so we still cannot make a judgement about that issue either, we'd be quite happy to use it to overturn the 2006 SGM but we're still waiting! \$7887 on solicitor fees for defamation could have gone a long way with a Private Investigator that could dig up any dirt there was.

Also - just pointing out that there is still something wrong with your email settings or something as your message appears to be coming from me (see below in the "from" line). This usually means the setting in your account for "reply to" has an issue. This may be related to your system crashing with some emails. Of course it could be misconstrued that you are sending messages posing as me but I do not believe this is the case as that would be serious. You might want to get Michael to check it out as I have noticed this problem with other addresses on messages you have sent. Also – please note that the secretary email address is secretary@mraa.org.au and this goes to Barry Marks who is the secretary at the moment.

-----Original Message-----

From: Grant Delahoy

Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2010 9:42 PM

To: grantad

Cc: Kerry; secretary@mraa.org.au

Subject: RE: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?

From: lindsay swift

Sent: Saturday, 9 October 2010 12:36 PM

To: grantad; adam and kellie; Boris Mihailovic; chris; Codognotto damien; Countersteering; Dabbs Mic gmail; euclid boraine; Gary Bleazby; james; JOHN HUBNER; kristen .; Iramseyer; luke adrian; mal_loafin; marissa.ford12; mick_val666; ross gellatly; Roselie Anne Kory; Simon Robinson; steve tilley; STEVEN raz; thefoxhat

Subject: RE: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?

The part I find most "astounding" and disgusting is that the MRA (VIC)'s priorities. They see fit to focus so much energy and members' (all, err, how many again????) funds on shafting an individual who has only ever sought to have the right thing done by motorcyclists.

Lindsay it would appear you are a little behind the times. If you want to know the membership details have a look on the MRAV website in the documentation section. The meeting minutes have it listed. Currently Financial and Life members are a bit over 600.

Actually it was the members who demanded action after the "Awareness Ride" (the hoon thing) debacle on maintaining control on who represented the members. The current board or the Ex Presidents?

Nothing has changed since their president Alex money arrogantly wasted MRA members' funds on security guards (chortle) to protect him from DC.
And the Karmouche, Gomperts and Lange oligarchy supported this!

Nothing has changed you say, except that none of those people you mention has anything to do with the running of the MRAV now.

A life member is a member for life. That is self evident. If he is to be expelled, then surely there would be something substantial, very substantial, to precipitate this action.

Actually our own rules state that the Life Members are the same as any other membership except they don't have to pay. If they fail to ensure we know where to send the renewals then their membership will expire. There are rules for discipline and expulsion as well which is why as a new executive we still have to honour the rules as constituted or leave ourselves open for dismissal for failing to do so. I guess you fall into the category of those who believe "once a King, always a King as he is ordained by god himself".

There was not. Damien stated facts. facts which may have been uncomfortable and inconvenient for a few people, but FACTS nonetheless. The man may not be quiet or retiring, but he is circumspect about the things he says.

Actually the evidence in hand shows that the facts and statements made were very far apart.

For this he was physically attacked and the falsely accused of assault.

Facts you demand, yet this is an absolutely incorrect statement? The incident you describe happened AFTER the SGM vote and has nothing to do with what the SGM was about.

No charge was ever laid and video evidence supported his innocence.

Actually Damien did charge Don for assault and he won. The video is on the MRV site and Youtube I believe. You should ensure you are stating facts that can be substantiated.

These allegations and ridiculous misallocations of members' funds are just proof of the new MRA's purpose- to establish and maintain an exclusive clique where no rocking the boat is to be tolerated. And unsuspecting members, the number of which is kept a secret as part of the MRA's clandestine, wanker nature, foot the bill.

Allegations of misallocations of members funds? You infer that money has been spent where it has not been spent at all. Happy to discuss this with any member. Also – Damien is surely entitled to speak his mind on anything he likes and we certainly have no influence on this. There was never anything anywhere in any communication with Damien that sought to silence him in any way, shape or form.

And thier agenda'? well, you tell me what they've done for motorcyclists lately!

GP RUNs, Toyruns, supporting other rides, the awareness ride, other lobbying efforts, all voluntarily and badly in need of help. Check on the website in the news section (or read our Newsletter) and read a few reports and you'd know. You haven't done anything yourself for quite a while have you?

Remember the 2,000 strong protest ride 2 years ago against freeway tolls and the rest of it? KARMOUCHE DIDN'T JUST SIT THAT ONE OUT- THE ARROGANT, ALOOF ARSEHOLE STOOD ON AN OVERPASS WHILE EVERYONE RODE BENEATH HIM. some 'representative!

I wasn't there on that one. It's my understanding that Damien organized this one which was a great effort. What John did, who cares?

And what of the motorcycle tax? Tonnes of signatures petitioning its removal, thousands of riders up in arms against it, but what has the MRA done?

Yes it's a shame about the TAC Levy. I wish I was around at the time it came in which was when who was in charge of the MRV again? We're chipping away at it slowly with what little support we do have.

We all know what they're focusing on instead. If they dedicated half the effort harassing anti-motorcycle politicians and lying police officers like Ken Lay rather than persecuting individuals, we might actually gain some valuable ground.

Effort on persecuting individual-s 0

Effort on engaging with authorities, government etc.- 80

Effort on replying to every back stabbing, lies, innuendo, and continued threats and attempts to discredit the MRAV- 20

I concur that DC's life membership should never have been questioned. That it be 'Restored' or 'recognized' is mere semantics. Either way would be a victory over these bastards who have infested a once great organization.

AH – with the exception of Tony Ellis who is now an ordinary Board member, all of us are new to this.

People don't pay the MRA to see them pursuing this kind of childish, supercilious shit. Perhaps the government does?

No they don't so why do a small group of people continue to pursue "justice" and "retribution" for something that happened four years ago and they will not recognize its legitimacy? That MRAV is gone. The new one is not far behind it if this can't be worked out and THAT is what we were trying to do, work out a plan to fix it. Perhaps, as this small group is causing so much difficulty with proper lobbying efforts for Victorian Riders, that it must be funded by the alleged government anti-motorcycle foundation. I have no evidence to support this, same as there is no evidence that the MRAV is.

It's time they were exposed as the corrupt ratship they are. And destroyed. Root and branch.

Crickets? What are you waiting for? Evidence? Stick to the Facts then why don't you?

Lindsay Swift

This was in reply to message 13

Message 18

Return-Path: <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

Received: from nskntmtas03p.mx.bigpond.com (nskntmtas03p.mx.bigpond.com [61.9.168.143])
by mail13.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id o9A0CeeM014016
for <grantad >; Sun, 10 Oct 2010 11:12:40 +1100

Received: from nskntotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com ([121.219.44.153])
by nskntmtas03p.mx.bigpond.com with ESMTP

id <20101010001239.RQZG5331.nskntmtas03p.mx.bigpond.com@nskntotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com>;
Sun, 10 Oct 2010 00:12:39 +0000

Received: from damien.lan ([121.219.44.153]) by nskntotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com
with ESMTP

id <20101010001226.USOR4790.nskntotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com@damien.lan>;
Sun, 10 Oct 2010 00:12:26 +0000

Date: Sun, 10 Oct 2010 11:12:26 +1100

From: Damien Cognotto OAM <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

X-Priority: 3 (Normal)

Message-ID: <1061050963.20101010111226@bigpond.com>

To: "Grant Delahoy" >, johnboundy

CC: MC1, mwigan, Kerry,

<dale.maggs>, <phoenix.rising >,

<lindsayswift >

Subject: Re: FW: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?

In-Reply-To: <000101cb677d\$2916bcc0\$7b443640\$@com.au>

References: <01c301cb669f\$a7f7a8d0\$f7e6fa70\$@com.au> <1848617176.20101008153701@bigpond.com>

<000101cb677d\$2916bcc0\$7b443640\$@com.au>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/mixed;

boundary="-----BC7B52325F0BD"

X-SIH-MSG-ID: rBgwEdf8TEWqlzgvxTGVVY14jglO69W91tNsMcpZ0qBgZUKTCsN/aR9SidqBRosu4xEefcgqEPnEgY6bIX4XSu8mylb1RYA==

The fact is that I was talking to you (MRA) in good faith because there is an election coming and it is a time the MRA can do something for motorcycling. You (MRA) sent the lawyer's letter (attached) to me without notice, let alone consultation.

Note the date on the letter. I do not believe there was a first letter. Readers can work out if it was an unprovoked attack or not and question the timing. I think it was an attempt at a gag like the one Karmouche used at the MRA Quarterly General Meeting when I tried to put my case re my MRA HLM to the assembled members.

Note too that, as usual, Tony Ellis & Co. are letting you and Kerry take the flak for their manipulation. The smear campaign continues with you two seen to be the leaders. The public may not be aware that you were rolled by a committee that is more concerned with the politics of hate than the good of Victoria's motorcycle & scooter community.

I have nothing to apologise for.

It's probably just a coincidence that the lawyer's letter comes from a firm in Bendigo. Bendigo West is the X Police Minister Bob Cameron's electorate. I doubt the MRA membership would not have voted for this FOC lawyer's letter. I'm almost certain they won't vote their funds pay for it once they know it's to finance action against one of the founders of their Association.

I had not sent anything on this to Boris but it's a good idea, thanks.

Damien Codognotto OAM
MRA Honourary Life Member
Melbourne
0419 846 855

This was in reply to message 15

Message 19

Return-Path: <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

Received: from nschwmtas01p.mx.bigpond.com (nschwmtas01p.mx.bigpond.com [61.9.189.137])
by mail17.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id o9A1FrpP020104
for <grantad >; Sun, 10 Oct 2010 12:15:53 +1100

Received: from nschwotgx04p.mx.bigpond.com ([121.219.44.153])
by nschwmtas01p.mx.bigpond.com with ESMTP
id <20101010011553.HVKP24965.nschwmtas01p.mx.bigpond.com@nschwotgx04p.mx.bigpond.com>;
Sun, 10 Oct 2010 01:15:53 +0000

Received: from damien.lan ([121.219.44.153]) by nschwotgx04p.mx.bigpond.com
with ESMTP
id <20101010011552.GKWN1400.nschwotgx04p.mx.bigpond.com@damien.lan>;
Sun, 10 Oct 2010 01:15:52 +0000

Date: Sun, 10 Oct 2010 12:15:37 +1100

From: Damien Codognotto OAM <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

X-Priority: 3 (Normal)

Message-ID: <04586016.20101010121537@bigpond.com>

To: "Grant Delahoy"

CC: Kerry, MC1, dale.maggs

<mwigan >, <lindsayswift >

<johnboundy

Subject: Re: LAWYER'S LETTER - OCT 8, 2010.

In-Reply-To: <000001cb677a\$6968d4a0\$3c3a7de0\$@com.au>

References: <07245540.20101008152218@bigpond.com> <000001cb677a\$6968d4a0\$3c3a7de0\$@com.au>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-15

X-RPD-ScanID: Class unknown; VirusThreatLevel unknown, RefID str=0001.0A150203.4CB113C9.0043,ss=1,fgs=0

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

X-MIME-Autoconverted: from quoted-printable to 8bit by mail17.syd.optusnet.com.au id o9A1FrpP020104

Grant,

The MRA's lawyer's letter to me included:

"You are hereby ordered to" and "Failure to comply with these demands may result in the MRA seeking an injunction or appropriate relief, and you may result in being liable for costs."

Sounds pretty clear to me. I think David Heasley says exactly what Ellis & Co. wanted to say. I don't think you or Kerry can soften it or disown it.

The use of the word "may" with "failure to comply" and "you being liable for costs" is clearly intended to say do as ordered or pay. Two things Ellis & Co. forgot. It's a free country (still) and I don't like bullies.

Damien Codognotto OAM
MRA Honourary Life Member
Melbourne
0419 846 855.

This was in reply to message 14

Message 20

Return-Path: <grantad >

Received: from editone (c114-77-124-189.chirn2.vic.optusnet.com.au [114.77.124.189])
(authenticated sender grantad@optusnet.com.au)
by mail07.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id o9A242pr025231;
Sun, 10 Oct 2010 13:04:02 +1100

Reply-To: <grantad >

From: "Grant Delahoy"

To: "'Damien Cognotto OAM'" <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>,
<johnboundy >

Cc: <MC1, <mwigan>, <Kerry>,
<dale.maggs>, <phoenix.rising >,
<lindsayswift >

References: <01c301cb669f5a7f7a8d0f7e6fa70\$@com.au> <1848617176.20101008153701@bigpond.com>
<000101cb677d52916bcc057b443640\$@com.au> <1061050963.20101010111226@bigpond.com>

In-Reply-To: <1061050963.20101010111226@bigpond.com>

Subject: RE: FW: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?

Date: Sun, 10 Oct 2010 13:03:35 +1000

Message-ID: <001e01cb68275bb5e16f05321a44d0\$@com.au>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="-----_NextPart_000_001F_01CB687B.8D0A26F0"

X-Mailer: Microsoft Office Outlook 12.0

Thread-Index: ActoD9nqEULgGOB0QFaYsF2WulmMcAAEnRkw

Content-Language: en-au

Actually it is Kerry's and my recollection that you brought up your proposal to get your HLM back before we mentioned anything to do with any letter. Kerry had said to me that he thought we were there on the pretence to talk about stuff you had to show us but you brought up the HLM status and threats to sue as soon as we sat in your kitchen, at which point I mentioned the letter. We were still trying to discuss this when Michael arrived and you had worked yourself into such a rage, Michael had to calm you down and tell you to listen to us. What disturbs me is that you continue to make erroneous statements on just about everything we discuss, broadcast it to all and sundry thus requiring me to have to reply and clarify the truth.

We can only go by what the Solicitor that offered his time for free to prepare the letter and send it tells us he did, therefore if you believe he never sent the letter as we had arranged then you are calling him a liar. At your request we instructed him to send it again this past week. As he changed the date on the letter to this week then there must be a legal reason for doing so. On this we will enquire.

We have stated several times that the letter in no way sought to impose a gag on your freedom to do and say whatever you choose. It does not mention this anywhere and we fail to see how anyone can draw this conclusion. The letter speaks about representation of the MRAV and your use of the statement that you are a current HLM member, that is all.

We are sorry your HLM was cancelled, we disagree only on how to reverse this but for our trying to discuss this, you have continued your public attack on the MRAV and the people currently trying to represent riders in Victoria. We have nothing to be ashamed about and we certainly believe you should be apologizing for the outrageous claims you have made against Kerry and the current board. However we can move on without it, how about you?

Evidence at hand shows that Boris (thus the media) has a copy of this email discussion whether directly or indirectly as a result of your actions in secretly spreading the messages. We can only reply now as though this is a public discussion and the responsibility for this is yours.

This was a reply to message 18

Message 21

Return-Path: <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

Received: from nschwmtas02p.mx.bigpond.com (nschwmtas02p.mx.bigpond.com [61.9.189.140])
by mail35.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTMP id o9A28cGp003163;
Sun, 10 Oct 2010 13:08:38 +1100

Received: from nschwotgx01p.mx.bigpond.com ([121.219.44.153])
by nschwmtas02p.mx.bigpond.com with ESMTMP
id <20101010020838.WVfY4250.nschwmtas02p.mx.bigpond.com@nschwotgx01p.mx.bigpond.com>;
Sun, 10 Oct 2010 02:08:38 +0000

Received: from damien.lan ([121.219.44.153]) by nschwotgx01p.mx.bigpond.com
with ESMTMP
id <20101010020837.UTQR8424.nschwotgx01p.mx.bigpond.com@damien.lan>;
Sun, 10 Oct 2010 02:08:37 +0000

Date: Sun, 10 Oct 2010 13:08:37 +1100

From: Damien Cognotto OAM <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

X-Priority: 3 (Normal)

Message-ID: <27689419.20101010130837@bigpond.com>

To: lindsay swift <lindsayswift >

CC: grantad, adam and kellie

Boris Mihailovic

<chris

Codognotto damien <uncle.d@bigpond.net.au>,

Countersteering <countersteering

Dabbs Mic gmail

euclid boraine

Gary Bleazby

james JOHN HUBNER <

"kristen ." <>, <lrmseyer >,

luke adrian <>, <mal_loafin >,

<marissa.ford12 >, <mick_val666 >,

ross gellatly

Roselie Anne Kory

Simon Robinson

steve tilley

STEVEN raz <>, <thefoxhat >,

<Kerry>, <MC1 >, <mwigan >,

"dale.maggs " <dale.maggs >

Subject: Re: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?

In-Reply-To: <COL109-W2996CBAAB2CA5683A5A292D4510@phx.gbl>

References: <1545794749.20101007214151@bigpond.com> <COL109-W2996CBAAB2CA5683A5A292D4510@phx.gbl>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/html; charset=iso-8859-1

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

X-RPD-ScanID: Class unknown; VirusThreatLevel unknown, RefID str=0001.0A150204.4CB12026.00A7,ss=1,fgs=0

X-SIH-MSG-ID: rRszEdX/TEWqlzgvxTGVY14jgLO69W91tNsMCpZ0qBgZUkTCsN/aR9SidqBRosu4xEEfcgqEPnEgYabkVYXSusmylb1RYA==

G'day Lindsay,

Thanks Lindsay.

The MRA in Melbourne does not want to resolve the dispute over my HLM. If it did I would not have been gagged at the most recent meeting I attended. There would have been consultation before a lawyer's letter was drafted. Delahoy and Walton would not have been rolled by the Ellis push.

It is a rerun of the Money/Gomperts/Karmouche attack.

It was political then and it is now.

But I'm still here.

Damien

MRA HLM

0419 846 855.

This was in reply to message 13

Message 22

Return-Path: <grantad >

Received: from editone (c114-77-124-189.chirn2.vic.optusnet.com.au [114.77.124.189])
(authenticated sender grantad@optusnet.com.au)
by mail01.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id o9A2lXoH005052;
Sun, 10 Oct 2010 13:19:00 +1100

Reply-To: <grantad >

From: "Grant Delahoy"

To: "'Damien Cognotto OAM'" <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

Cc: <Kerry>, <MC1 >, <dale.maggs >,
<mwigan >, <lindsayswift >,
<johnboundy

References: <07245540.20101008152218@bigpond.com> <000001cb677a\$6968d4a0\$3c3a7de0\$@com.au>
<04586016.20101010121537@bigpond.com>

In-Reply-To: <04586016.20101010121537@bigpond.com>

Subject: RE: LAWYER'S LETTER - OCT 8, 2010.

Date: Sun, 10 Oct 2010 13:18:32 +1000

Message-ID: <002301cb6829\$d2353000\$769f9000\$@com.au>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: Microsoft Office Outlook 12.0

Thread-Index: ActoGK64AHnZlZJSRu+0ASWsnq\$4KQAD2NtA

Content-Language: en-au

"you are hereby ordered to...." What? Stop making statements that you are a HLM, that was all. Same type of statement we sent to John and Dale and they understand what it's about.

We've explained why. The letter is a stock standard legal letter that any organisation would send out. It would appear you are reading it behind a certain layer of paranoia, but that is probably understandable and we have offered an olive branch to try and settle this. There is no malice from either of us towards you.

Will you work with us on this or not? We do wish you well in your efforts for riders.

Cheers!

Grant

This was in reply to message 19

Message 23

Return-Path: <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

Received: from nschwmtas03p.mx.bigpond.com (nschwmtas03p.mx.bigpond.com [61.9.189.143])
by mail36.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id o9A3OrdD001968
for <grantad >; Sun, 10 Oct 2010 14:24:53 +1100

Received: from nschwotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com ([121.219.44.153])
by nschwmtas03p.mx.bigpond.com with ESMTP
id <20101010032453.URNP13334.nschwmtas03p.mx.bigpond.com@nschwotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com>;
Sun, 10 Oct 2010 03:24:53 +0000

Received: from damien.lan ([121.219.44.153]) by nschwotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com
with ESMTP
id <20101010032452.VEDY13035.nschwotgx02p.mx.bigpond.com@damien.lan>;
Sun, 10 Oct 2010 03:24:52 +0000

Date: Sun, 10 Oct 2010 14:24:53 +1100

From: Damien Cognotto OAM <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

X-Priority: 3 (Normal)

Message-ID: <1273105799.20101010142453@bigpond.com>

To: "Grant Delahoy" <>

CC: Kerry, MC1, dale.maggs
<mwigan >, <lindsayswift >,
<johnboundy >

Subject: Re: LAWYER'S LETTER - OCT 8, 2010.

In-Reply-To: <002301cb6829\$d2353000\$769f9000\$@com.au>

References: <07245540.20101008152218@bigpond.com> <000001cb677a\$6968d4a0\$3c3a7de0\$@com.au>
<04586016.20101010121537@bigpond.com> <002301cb6829\$d2353000\$769f9000\$@com.au>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-15

X-RPD-ScanID: Class unknown; VirusThreatLevel unknown, RefID str=0001.0A150203.4CB13205.002C,ss=1,fgs=0

X-SIH-MSG-ID: qhEyF9X7TEWqlzgvxTGVY14jglO69W91tNsMCpZ0qBgZUKTCsN/aR9SidqBRosu4xEfegqEPnEgYKbmWYXUscmylb1RYA==

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

X-MIME-Autoconverted: from quoted-printable to 8bit by mail36.syd.optusnet.com.au id o9A3OrdD001968

Hello Grant,

To the best of my knowledge the MRA in Melbourne has sent just 3 of these type of letters in its' 30 plus year history. They are anything but stock standard.

There may be no malice from you or Kerry but there is certainly malice from the MRA in Melbourne which is why the letter was drafted and sent.

There has been no "olive Branch". Getting say at the next AGM after Ellis and Co. are likely long gone is hardly resolving anything.

Have a look at your own conscience and stop- wasting my time.

Damien Codognotto OAM
MRA Honourary Life member

This was in reply to message 22

Message 24

Return-Path: <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>
Received: from nskntmtas01p.mx.bigpond.com (nskntmtas01p.mx.bigpond.com [61.9.168.137])
by mail11.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id o9A3efEi024157
for <grantad >; Sun, 10 Oct 2010 14:40:41 +1100
Received: from nskntotgx04p.mx.bigpond.com ([121.219.44.153])
by nskntmtas01p.mx.bigpond.com with ESMTP
id <20101010034041.JHDW20205.nskntmtas01p.mx.bigpond.com@nskntotgx04p.mx.bigpond.com>;
Sun, 10 Oct 2010 03:40:41 +0000
Received: from damien.lan ([121.219.44.153]) by nskntotgx04p.mx.bigpond.com
with ESMTP
id <20101010034040.SEJV6348.nskntotgx04p.mx.bigpond.com@damien.lan>;
Sun, 10 Oct 2010 03:40:40 +0000
Date: Sun, 10 Oct 2010 14:40:41 +1100
From: Damien Cognotto OAM <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>
X-Priority: 3 (Normal)
Message-ID: <1508670957.20101010144041@bigpond.com>
To: "Grant Delahoy"
CC: johnboundy MC1, mwigan
<Kerry>, <dale.maggs
<phoenix.rising, <lindsayswift
Subject: Re: FW: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?
In-Reply-To: <001e01cb6827\$bb5e16f0\$321a44d0\$@com.au>
References: <01c301cb669f\$a7f7a8d0\$f7e6fa70\$@com.au> <1848617176.20101008153701@bigpond.com>
<000101cb677d\$2916bcc0\$7b443640\$@com.au> <1061050963.20101010111226@bigpond.com>
<001e01cb6827\$bb5e16f0\$321a44d0\$@com.au>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/html; charset=iso-8859-15
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
X-RPD-ScanID: Class unknown; VirusThreatLevel unknown, RefID str=0001.0A150203.4CB135B9.007A,ss=1,fgs=0

Hello Grant,

Public discussion is fine with me. I have nothing to hide.

My HLM was never cancelled.

Twisting the facts saying I was in a rage when you visited me at home is beneath you. Obviously your definition of rage includes sentences with exclamation marks. My 85 year old mother was in the room. There was no agenda for that meeting. My intention was to cover all aspects of my lobbying life and its' worth. There was no way for the MRA to have the advantage of my experience and contacts until the key issue, my HLM, was resolved.

I showed you my copies of history and records going back more than 30 years. Material that Gomperts took to the tip. We also talked about marketing and ways to promote the MRA and methods of lobbying. I gave you that information/insight in good faith. I obviously wanted to work closer with you two and had no idea there was a lawyer's letter in the breach. So be it.

Again, look at your conscience.

The hurt you (MRA) have caused me and my family while my father was terminally ill and after his death is nothing short of disgraceful

This was in reply to message 20

Message 25

Return-Path: <grantad

Received: from editone (c114-77-100-44.chirn2.vic.optusnet.com.au [114.77.100.44])
(authenticated sender grantad@optusnet.com.au)
by mail09.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id o9A9prjT000373;
Sun, 10 Oct 2010 20:51:54 +1100

Reply-To: <grantad

From: "Grant Delahoy"

To: "'Damien Cognito OAM'" <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>

Cc: <johnboundy >, <MC1, <mwigan

<Kerry>, <dale.maggs

<phoenix.rising>, <lindsayswift

References: <01c301cb669f5a7f7a8d05f7e6fa70\$@com.au> <1848617176.20101008153701@bigpond.com>

<000101cb677d52916bcc057b443640\$@com.au> <1061050963.20101010111226@bigpond.com>

<001e01cb68275bb5e16f05321a44d0\$@com.au> <1508670957.20101010144041@bigpond.com>

In-Reply-To: <1508670957.20101010144041@bigpond.com>

Subject: RE: FW: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?

Date: Sun, 10 Oct 2010 20:51:26 +1000

Message-ID: <000601cb6869517610480546230d80\$@com.au>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0007_01CB68BC.E90D1480"

X-Mailer: Microsoft Office Outlook 12.0

Thread-Index: ActoLOkrMgySjRIZSkyd7Flv2Dd0nAAOdeMA

I would have left it at the last message but again you have made a statement contradictory to that of what I wrote. I won't comment on your powers of comprehension however it appears you have misunderstood or misread my comment. You were not in a rage when we visited you but you certainly got angry when we mentioned the letter after you brought up the HLM status. How you speak in front of your mother is a matter for you but you made both Kerry and I uncomfortable regarding your state of mind and how safe it was to be there. You may dispute this all you like but it is a fact. Michael did ask you to stop and listen several times.

We actually appreciated your time and have a lot of respect for the body of work you have produced. You may not appreciate that we are willing to discuss ideas and plans and welcome your input but it is there and open for you. The sticking point appears to be that you will not recognise the legitimacy of the vote of the members at the SGM in 2006. But we have to as there are members who will not let us overturn that decision without proper process. Therefore we had hoped to convince you of a compromise plan but obviously there is none to be had.

We are sorry you feel that way and we wish you and your family all the best.

This was in reply to message 24

Message 26

Return-Path: <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>
Received: from nschwmtas03p.mx.bigpond.com (nschwmtas03p.mx.bigpond.com [61.9.189.143])
by mail35.syd.optusnet.com.au (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id o9B05QGq025714
for <grantad >; Mon, 11 Oct 2010 11:05:26 +1100
Received: from nschwotgx01p.mx.bigpond.com ([121.219.44.153])
by nschwmtas03p.mx.bigpond.com with ESMTP
id <20101011000526.NHMD13334.nschwmtas03p.mx.bigpond.com@nschwotgx01p.mx.bigpond.com>;
Mon, 11 Oct 2010 00:05:26 +0000
Received: from damien.lan ([121.219.44.153]) by nschwotgx01p.mx.bigpond.com
with ESMTP
id <20101011000525.TCXS8424.nschwotgx01p.mx.bigpond.com@damien.lan>;
Mon, 11 Oct 2010 00:05:25 +0000
Date: Mon, 11 Oct 2010 11:05:26 +1100
From: Damien Cognotto OAM <d.codognotto.oam@bigpond.com>
X-Priority: 3 (Normal)
Message-ID: <1897391390.20101011110526@bigpond.com>
To: "Grant Delahoy"
CC: johnboundy, MC1, mwigan
<Kerry, <dale.maggs
<phoenix.rising >, <lindsayswift
Subject: Re: FW: MEDIATION AGREEMENT?
In-Reply-To: <000601cb6869\$17610480\$46230d80\$@com.au>
References: <01c301cb669f\$7f7a8d0\$7e6fa70\$@com.au> <1848617176.20101008153701@bigpond.com>
<000101cb677d\$2916bcc0\$7b443640\$@com.au> <1061050963.20101010111226@bigpond.com>
<001e01cb6827\$bb5e16f0\$321a44d0\$@com.au> <1508670957.20101010144041@bigpond.com>
<000601cb6869\$17610480\$46230d80\$@com.au>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/html; charset=iso-8859-15
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
X-RPD-ScanID: Class unknown; VirusThreatLevel unknown, RefID str=0001.0A150201.4CB254C6.009C,ss=1,fgs=0
X-SIH-MSG-ID: rxA7FNT2TEWqlzgvxTGVY14jglO69W91tNsMCpZ0qBgZUKtCsN/aR9SidqBRvNG4xEfCgqEP3EgY6bkWIXTtMmyIb1RYA==

Grant,

Of course I got angry when you surprised me with the lawyer's letter. I really thought you and Kerry were there to talk about rebuilding the MRA. Of course MY HLM was a hurdle to doing that but I had no idea you were quietly opening another front.

This is a waste of time.

End of this topic in this forum.

Damien Codognotto OAM
MRA HLM
0419 846 855.

This was in reply to message 25

I could continue this as Kerry and I were there at Damien's invitation to discuss what he could do to help us, but were immediately asked to restore his HLM with threats to sue if we didn't and all his offer to help rescinded.